I. Introduction

Today’s adolescents have never known a world without AIDS.\(^1\) People born with HIV and those becoming sexually active in an era of HIV and AIDS face complicated risks and challenges that were unknown to previous generations. Today, 1.8 billion young people ages 10–24 comprise 26 percent of the world’s population and as much as 32 percent in some regions, such as sub-Saharan Africa.\(^2\) Many countries with the highest HIV prevalence are experiencing a massive “youth bulge” in population, so even with decreasing HIV prevalence, the absolute number of young people living with HIV or at risk of acquiring HIV will grow in the next five years. There is also growing evidence that many high-risk behaviors among key populations begin during adolescence.\(^3\) Therefore, adolescents are a critical priority in HIV prevention programming. Young women are especially vulnerable, with HIV infection rates nearly twice as high as those for young men.\(^4\) At the end of 2012, approximately two-thirds of new HIV infections in adolescents ages 15–19 years were among girls.\(^5\)
Methodology

Evidence of effective and promising HIV prevention interventions for women and girls was gathered through a review of the literature in *What Works for Women and Girls: Evidence for HIV/AIDS Interventions* (www.whatworksforwomen.org) through January 2012. The findings were based on meetings with leading experts in adolescent research, policy, and programming as well as searches conducted using SCOPUS, Medline, and Popline for 2005 to 2011, using the search words “HIV,” “AIDS,” “wom*n,” and other specific terms. The gray literature was captured through a review of key websites, including United Nations agencies, the World Health Organization, The Cochrane Collaboration; Open Society Institute; International Council for Research on Women; Population Services International; The Population Council; International Community of Women with HIV/AIDS; World Bank; FHI 360; AIDStar I and II, and the Guttmacher Institute; and experts were consulted on each topic to ensure evidence was fully captured (see www.whatworksforwomen.org for more detail on methodology, including the total number of articles and reports screened and the full list of complete references).

The strength of the evidence was rated using a modified version of the Gray Scale (see Table 1), which was developed for systematic reviews under The Cochrane Collaboration of systematic reviews (http://www.cochrane.org/) and lent itself to the variety of interventions in this review. The methodology incorporates three dimensions to categorize interventions as “Works” and “Promising”:

1. The depth of evidence (how many evaluations/studies support the intervention);
2. The breadth of evidence (how many countries contribute evidence to support the intervention); and
3. The strength of the evidence (Gray Scale), where “Works” consists of Gray I, II, or IIIa studies for at least two countries and/or five Gray IIIb, IV, or V studies across more than one country and “Promising” consists of Gray I, II, or IIIa studies in only one setting or at least two studies rated Gray IIIb, IV, or V in one country or region.

The evidence was categorized using a social-ecological model (see Figure 1) and further organized by different adolescent and young adult populations. The categories are somewhat fluid; for example, gender norms may be addressed at the community or societal level; educational initiatives aimed at individuals may be addressed at the society or community level, etc. Therefore, this framing is for illustrative purposes.

### Table 1. Gray Scale of the Strength of Evidence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Strength of Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Strong evidence from at least one systematic review of multiple well-designed, randomized controlled trials.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Strong evidence from at least one well-designed, randomized, controlled trial of appropriate size.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIIa</td>
<td>Evidence from well-designed trials/studies without randomization that include a control group (e.g., quasi-experimental, matched case-control studies, pre-post with control group).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIIb</td>
<td>Evidence from well-designed trials/studies without randomization that do not include a control group (e.g., single group pre-post, cohort, time series/interrupted time series).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Evidence from well-designed, non-experimental studies from more than one center or research group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Opinions of respected authorities, based on clinical evidence, descriptive studies, or reports of expert committees.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Gray includes five types of evidence. For *What Works*, level III has been subdivided to differentiate between studies and evaluations that include control groups (IIa) and those that do not (IIb). Qualitative studies can fall in both levels IV and V, depending on number of study participants, among other factors.
It is important to note that most evidence cited here has emerged from studies that have been carried out among those who did not need parental consent to participate in a study, which is age 18 in many countries but may vary—the age of consent is determined by each country’s research institutional review board. Nonetheless, much of the work done with adults, such as increasing counseling and testing, has life-saving potential for adolescents. While not all of the studies cited were conducted among adolescents (age groups are noted), some findings could be adapted and, with rigorous evaluation, applied to an adolescent population. Likewise, the evidence on scaling up interventions is based on experiences with scaling up programming for all ages, although youth- and adolescent-specific experience is still emerging. Continued evaluation of bringing youth programming to scale will help fill the gaps in evidence on adolescent HIV prevention programming.

II. Priority Interventions

Given the available evidence to date, the interventions listed below should be considered priority areas for HIV prevention among adolescents. Key components of the interventions are italicized and the supporting citations noted. Though there are many promising programs, there remain few strongly evaluated interventions for adolescents to justify recommendations for national scale-up. The two notable exceptions are increasing educational attainment for adolescents and the provision of high-quality, comprehensive sexuality education.

Note on age: The needs of younger adolescents (10–14 years old) differ greatly from those of older adolescents (15–18 years old) and young adults (19–24 years old), so the following interventions and their components must be implemented in age-appropriate ways. However, adolescent sexual behavior does not follow age restrictions: some adolescents may experience early sexual debut, others may remain abstinent until they are older.

Societal Level

Works: Increase Educational Attainment for All Adolescents

Increasing educational attainment for boys and girls can help reduce HIV risk. There is strong evidence of an increasingly lower risk of HIV among the most educated—particularly for girls. Interventions that succeeded in increasing educational attainment and are linked to reduced HIV acquisition include abolishing school fees to enable girls to attend (or stay in) school and providing educational support for orphans. Conditional cash transfers are a promising strategy that can enable girls to stay in school and may result in reduced incidence of HIV.

HIV strategies should promote schooling for girls. Increasing educational attainment requires collaboration among the health and education sectors, as well as HIV program coordinating bodies, to coordinate implementation, monitoring, and evaluation. Globally, progress has been made in increasing primary school completion, but ensuring that all adolescents complete secondary school is an ongoing challenge. This goal is important in and of itself, and should also be pursued due to the links with various positive health outcomes, including decreased HIV incidence.

Works: Provide Quality Comprehensive Sex Education for All Adolescents

Substantial evidence exists that sex and HIV education with certain characteristics (e.g., a clear focus on HIV prevention; addressing situations that might lead to unwanted or unprotected intercourse, how to avoid these, and how to get out of them; a focus on knowledge, values, norms, attitudes, and skills; employment of participatory teaching methods, etc.) prior to the onset of sexual activity may be effective in reducing stigma and preventing transmission of HIV by increasing age at first sex and, for those who are sexually active, increasing condom use and HIV testing, and reducing the number of sexual partners.

It is important to note that school-based interventions alone have not shown an impact in reducing HIV incidence, but they have shown beneficial effects on knowledge and reported behaviors, suggesting that sex education is necessary for effective HIV prevention but needs to be combined with other interventions, including accessible and youth-friendly health services.

The quality of sex education is as important as its provision; fidelity to the successful components of pilot programs must be maintained. Training for teachers to conduct age-appropriate, participatory sex education that can improve students’ knowledge and skills, is essential.
For more information, see Comprehensive Sexuality Education: The Challenges and Opportunities of Scaling Up (UNESCO, 2012).

Community Level

Promising: Ensure Access to Health Services and Testing for All Adolescents and Young Adults

Young people’s service needs are frequently overlooked in HIV programming that is not specifically designed for adolescents. Providing clinic services that are acceptable and accessible to youth, conveniently located, affordable, confidential and nonjudgmental is a promising way to increase the use of clinic reproductive health services, including HIV testing and counseling (HTC).\(^2^0\) Policies that require parental consent for HTC may impede access to services for adolescents. Evidence has shown that provision of HIV testing and counseling can help women learn their HIV status and increase their protective behaviors, particularly among those who test positive (works among adults),\(^2^1\) yet many testing studies are not adolescent-focused. Providing HIV testing and counseling together with other health services has been shown to increase the number of adults accessing HTC (works among adults).\(^2^2\) For adolescents living with HIV, provision of ARV therapy can reduce (but does not eliminate) the risk of HIV transmission and is an additional HIV prevention strategy.\(^2^3\) Establishing comprehensive post-rape care protocols, which include post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP) and emergency contraception, can improve services for all.\(^2^4\)

Promising: Use Mass Media and Social Marketing Campaigns as a Tool to Reach Adolescents

Mass media and social marketing campaigns have been useful tools to achieve a number of aims and, if used in a focused and coordinated way with other interventions, can effectively reach large numbers of young people to increase the number of individuals and couples accessing HIV testing and counseling.\(^2^5,2^6\) Such campaigns have been modestly effective in persuading both female and male adolescents to change high-risk behaviors.\(^2^7\) Evidence among adults has shown that community-based interventions (including media) that provide accurate information about HIV transmission can significantly reduce HIV stigma and discrimination (works among adults);\(^2^8\) mass media campaigns concerning gender equality as part of comprehensive and integrated interventions can increase HIV-related protective behaviors (promising among adults);\(^2^9\) and public health promotion can increase awareness of violence against women (promising among adults).\(^3^0\)

Relationship Level

Promising: Focus on Gender Equity for All Adolescents and Young Adults

Harmful gender norms are a risk factor for violence and can increase the risk of acquiring HIV. Experts in development and gender increasingly agree that interventions to address gender norms and reduce HIV need to work with “men and women, boys and girls, in an intentionally and mutually reinforcing way that challenges gender norms, catalyzes the achievement of gender equality and improves health.”\(^3^1\) Addressing gender equity during the formative period of adolescence can transform attitudes for a lifetime.

Training teachers about gender-based violence is a promising strategy to change norms about acceptance of it.\(^3^2\) For older adolescents and young adults (ages 16–24) community-based participatory learning approaches involving men and women can create more gender-equitable relationships, thereby decreasing violence (works among adults).\(^3^3\) Evidence has shown that addressing gender equality, particularly among men (age unspecified), with training, peer and partner discussions, and community-based education that question harmful gender norms can improve HIV prevention, testing, treatment, and care (works among adults).\(^3^4\) Among adults, couple dialogue and counseling, including techniques to avert gender-based violence, may also increase the number of couples who receive and disclose their test results (works among adults).\(^3^5\) This could also be tested as a strategy for married adolescents and those in relationships.

Individual Level

Promising/Works: Improve Knowledge and Encourage Protective Behavior Among Younger Adolescents

National efforts to decrease or delay sexual activity, increase condom use, and reduce numbers of sexual partners may be effective in preventing HIV acquisition.\(^3^6\) Successful interventions include comprehensive programs for youth, such as those that use multiple components (e.g., policy/advocacy coordination and integration of health services, livelihood skills training, seminars,
sports, etc.) can improve adolescent's HIV knowledge and encourage protective behavior (works). Counseling may reduce risk behaviors and HIV acquisition among adults, and incorporating discussions of alcohol use into HTC may increase protective behaviors such as condom use, partner reduction, and reduction of alcohol use (works among adults), though these studies were not specifically tested among adolescents. Promising interventions such as encouraging communication between adults and young people about reproductive health information, can increase protective behaviors among adolescents, and providing HIV prevention education by people living with HIV (who wish to sero-disclose) to youth can reinforce messages about protective behavior.

**Promising: Focus on Condoms for Sexually Active Adolescents**

Condom use is a critical prevention method, reducing the chance of HIV acquisition by more than 95 percent, with comparable effectiveness between male and female condoms when used consistently and correctly. Beginning at sexual debut, promoting condoms, either in individual or group sessions, along with skills training, provision of condoms, and motivational education can increase condom use (works among adults). Increasing the accessibility and availability of condoms can increase condom use (promising among adults). Similarly, expanding distribution of female condoms may increase female condom use, thus increasing the number of protected sex acts, and preventing HIV acquisition and transmission (works among adults). Promoting the dual use of condoms as a contraceptive and for HIV prevention may make use more acceptable and easier to negotiate (works among adults), particularly for young people (promising among adolescents). Additionally, youth-friendly condom distribution can help adolescents feel more comfortable accessing condoms (promising among adolescents) and promoting pleasure in male and female condom use can increase the practice of safer sex (promising among adults). For older adolescents, effective interventions also include increasing couple communication about HIV risk, which can increase preventive behaviors, including condom use (works among adults). In general, promoting the acceptability of condom use by both women and men as the norm in sexual intercourse is a promising strategy to decrease national HIV prevalence.

**Promising: Facilitate Employment for Older Adolescents and Young Adults**

Although there is a need for better indicators and carefully designed programming to measure the HIV- and AIDS-related impact of economic empowerment on women and girls, studies have consistently shown that increasing women’s access to information, skills, technologies, services, social support, and income increased their ability to protect themselves from HIV. For young men, as well, increased access to employment facilitates greater human and social development and eradication of poverty. Increased employment opportunities, microfinance, or small-scale income-generating activities can also reduce behavior that increases HIV risk, particularly among adults, and may be promising among adolescents and young adults, if carefully evaluated. The availability of HIV testing and counseling on-site at workplaces may increase uptake of HTC.
Work: Support Orphans and Vulnerable Children (OVC)

Orphans and vulnerable children are a key population at risk for HIV acquisition. Girls who have been orphaned by HIV and AIDS face an intersection of vulnerabilities: As children, they lack the legal rights (e.g., inheritance and property), maturity, and skills to care and provide for themselves. As girl children, they often do not have equal access to household resources for schooling, nutrition, or healthcare. When a catastrophic event hits the family, girls are frequently the ones who must leave school and take on a greater burden within the home.

Successful interventions for orphans and vulnerable children include psychological counseling and mentoring, which may improve their psychological well-being. Programs that offer family-centered integrated economic, health and social support can also result in improved health and education outcomes for orphans. Since orphans face particular HIV risk, programs that provide community-wide cash transfers, microenterprise opportunities, old age pensions or other targeted financial and livelihood assistance can be effective in supporting orphans. Promising strategies for orphans and vulnerable children include creating community development projects, rather than a narrowly defined HIV and AIDS program, to reduce the stigma against those orphaned by AIDS.

Promising/Works: Help Young Women Involved in Sex Work Protect Themselves

Although young women may enter into sex work at an early age, “the UN restricts its definition of ‘sex workers’ to adults over 18 years of age and affirms that the involvement of children (under age 18) in transactional sex/work and other forms of exploitation and abuse contravenes United Nations conventions and international human rights law. Children under age 18 who sell sex are victims and cannot be viewed as sex workers.” HIV prevention interventions for exploited and trafficked children were beyond the scope of this review.

For women over age 18 who are engaged in sex work, some programmatic interventions have demonstrated success, including comprehensive prevention programs that include components such as peer education, medical services and supplies, and support groups. These can be effective in enabling sex workers to adopt safer sex practices (works among adults). Peer education among sex workers (works among adults) and policies that involve sex workers, brothel owners, and clients in development and implementation of condom use can increase reported condom use (promising among adults).

Additional successful interventions include clinic-based interventions with outreach workers, which can be effective in increasing condom use and HIV testing among sex workers (works among adults); providing routine, high-quality, voluntary and confidential sexually transmitted infection (STI) clinical services that include condom promotion can reduce HIV risk among sex workers (promising among adults); and creating a sense of community, empowerment, and leadership among sex workers can help support effective HIV prevention (works among adults). Targeting male clients is a promising intervention that can increase condom use and reduce HIV risk for sex workers.

Promising: Encourage Protective Behavior for Young People Who Inject Drugs

In areas where drug use contributes to the HIV epidemic, particularly among older adolescents and young adults, opioid agonist therapy, particularly maintenance programs with methadone and buprenorphine, leads to reductions in drug use, HIV acquisition, and risk behavior among people who inject drugs and is safe and effective for use by pregnant women (works among adults). Comprehensive harm-reduction programs, including needle exchange programs, condom distribution, agonist therapy and outreach, peer-driven interventions, and nonjudgmental risk-reduction counseling can reduce HIV risk behaviors and prevalence among people who inject drugs (works among adults).

Encouraging condom use and other protective behavior is important for young people who use drugs. Interventions that increase protective behavior include peer education among women who use drugs and female partners of men who use drugs (works among adults). Gender-sensitive sex-segregated group sessions for couples that use drugs can also result in increased condom use and safer injection practices (works among adults). Offering no-cost HIV testing and counseling to women who use drugs is a promising intervention that can help reduce HIV-risk behaviors.
III. Implementation and Research Gaps in the Evidence for Adolescents

Interventions that create a positive environment and provide adequate and accessible services allow adolescents the knowledge and resources to protect themselves and their partners. However, a number of research and implementation gaps remain and, overall, effective programs must be expanded to reach many more adolescents, especially those who are the most neglected such as younger adolescents, out-of-school youth, young people living with HIV, and homeless and rural youth. The following gaps have emerged from the comprehensive review of the literature.

Societal level…

Clear policies and legislation supporting access to information and services are needed to reduce the risk of HIV transmission among young people. Laws and practices that obstruct adolescents’ access to services, such as parental consent, age, and marital status requirements, must be reviewed and revised. Laws prohibiting marriage at a young age need to be enacted and enforced. Successful efforts to increase educational attainment for girls, particularly to secondary school, must be scaled up.

Community level…

Interventions are needed to reduce cross-generational sex and marriage, as well as those to eliminate sexual coercion and rape of women, girls, boys, and men. Efforts are needed to create awareness in communities that violence against adults and children is unacceptable, strengthen adult and child statutory protection systems, and conceptualize and implement appropriate adult and child protection services in developing countries, linking HIV and AIDS programs with programs to address gender-based violence, including child sexual abuse and post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP).

In areas with high rates of intravenous drug use, interventions are needed to scale up and increase access to methadone and buprenorphine—effective agonist therapy for the treatment of drug dependence—as well as needle exchange/distribution programs. Efforts are also needed to eliminate compulsory drug detention and instead provide people who inject drugs (PWID) with HIV prevention and testing services and effective drug dependency treatment by medical professionals. Adolescent girls who inject drugs require risk-reduction programs to meet their needs, and HIV prevention information and confidential services should be available for PWID receiving treatment for substance use. Interventions are needed to provide women and their partners with a better understanding of the risk of acquiring HIV through sexual practices as well as through injecting drug use. Women who inject drugs must be able to access services for violence against women, dual method use, effective contraception, and reproductive health. Many of these gaps have been noted among adults but are also gaps among adolescents.

Sex education and condom promotion programs must take into account the different motivations among young men and women for engaging in unsafe sex, and HIV programming must be more effective in creating meaningful involvement for both male and female partners and increasing focus on gender equality. Increased training for teachers and clear educational policies regarding sexuality education to provide effective AIDS education are also required.

Actions should be taken to increase adolescents’ knowledge of when and where to access health services, including access to contraception services and condoms. Tailored interventions are urgently needed to provide greater availability of and access to female condoms, along with education and training on their use as an additional condom option. Providers should receive training to promote the use of female condoms and discuss sexuality and pleasure with adolescents who use reproductive health services.

Programs must continue to promote protective behavior, such as condom use, in addition to male circumcision. Programs for male circumcision should provide women and girls, as well as men and boys, with detailed factual knowledge of the benefits and risks of voluntary medical male circumcision and incorporate gender training for young men.

Further efforts are needed to make HIV testing and counseling (HTC) available and accessible to adolescents and to guarantee the confidentiality of HIV test results. Steps must also be taken to ensure optimal HTC counseling strategies and topics, with detailed information about accessing treatment and risk reduction. Enforcement of standard protocols is
needed to reduce the risk of provider coercion in HIV testing, particularly in provider-initiated testing and counseling.103

**Relationship level…**

Changing the gender norms that can lead to increased risk of HIV acquisition, such as those dictating multiple sexual partners, the use of violence, or drinking heavily for men and sexual ignorance, submissiveness, and dependency for women and girls is a challenge.104, 105 Further well-evaluated interventions are needed and existing interventions must be scaled up. Interventions are required to reduce homophobia, which can lead men who have sex with men to have sexual partnerships with women106—a particular concern for youth, because sexual identity and exploration develops during childhood and adolescence. The expansion and scale-up of interventions promoting economic opportunities for women and girls are necessary to increase their ability to refuse unsafe sex that is motivated by economic needs.107 Interventions that reduce commute times and/or ensure easy and safe access to safe public transportation may lessen the risk of sexual violence among adolescent girls.108

Evaluated interventions are urgently needed to reduce multiple and concurrent partnerships—particularly where perceived HIV risk is low, women are subjected to gender norms of faithfulness, and men are subjected to gender norms of having multiple sexual partners.109

**Individual level…**

Greater efforts are required to help young people personalize HIV risks110 and for adolescents to reduce acceptance of gender-based violence and stigma against people living with HIV.111

Interventions are needed to educate girls ages 8 to 14 about menses, puberty, and basic HIV knowledge.112

Further interventions are needed to help female orphans and vulnerable children reduce high-risk sexual behaviors and protect them from sexual violence.113 Support programs, including counseling, are needed for children orphaned by AIDS and their caregivers to combat depression, social isolation, and stigma;114 and programs should encourage male involvement in children’s treatment and orphan care.115

For young adults engaged in sex work (see the UN definition), basic information about HIV-related services, such as where to access condoms and confidential HIV testing, is still needed.116

Attention to these research and implementation gaps is vital to the development of a comprehensive evidence base of effective HIV prevention strategies for young people.
IV. The Way Forward: Considerations for Scaling Up Successful Programming for Adolescents

Scaling up prevention for adolescents is important to reach larger numbers of young people. There are numerous proven small-scale efforts to reach youth, but unless these are scaled up, they will not have an impact on the incidence and prevalence of HIV. In a review of HIV prevention research over the past 25 years, Rotheram-Borus, et al. (2009) reflected that “effective HIV prevention requires a combination of behavioral, biomedical, and structural intervention strategies,” yet scale-up of proven interventions has been insufficient. They note that the current challenge for prevention researchers is to “reconceptualize how cost-effective, useful, realistic, and sustainable prevention programs will be designed, delivered, tested, and diffused.”

Globally, there is tremendous variation among adolescents and successful programming will necessarily be context-specific. However, the literature shows that preventing HIV among adolescents in general requires a comprehensive, multisectoral response with consistent messages repeated numerous times from multiple sources. A number of key factors are needed for scaling up effective interventions (see Box 1).

A number of constraints have also been identified at the policy, program, service delivery, and community levels that can impede progress in scaling up. Both facilitating factors and constraints must be taken into account in scaling up programming for adolescents. For example, barriers for adolescents at the household/community level include the need for parental permission for HIV testing; barriers at the health service delivery level include a lack of adolescent-focused infrastructure and privacy—adolescents are often overlooked in government bureaucracy and the information needs of young people are a low priority.

As noted by Mavedzenge, et al. (2013) in their systematic review of systematic reviews, strong evidence exists for HIV interventions that are primarily designed for adults, but there is only modest evidence on how adolescents could access these interventions. However, some evidence-informed programming can be scaled up to reach larger numbers of adolescents, especially girls.

There is strong evidence that increasing educational attainment, especially through secondary school, helps reduce HIV risk among girls and is associated with other positive health and economic benefits. Proven interventions that facilitate keeping girls in school, such as cash-transfers and waiving of school fees, should be brought to scale as part of national HIV strategies to target girls who are most at risk for poor HIV-related outcomes. High-quality, comprehensive sexuality education is an intervention with extensive evidence demonstrating its readiness to be brought to scale in every country, with additional efforts needed for out-of-school youth.

Other promising approaches for scale-up include ensuring access to health services and information, improving age-appropriate knowledge about HIV/AIDS among younger adolescents, efforts that focus on condom information and provision for sexually active adolescents, and employment opportunities for older adolescents. Attention to gender equity for all adolescents during their formative years can also have a critical impact on gender equality in the near future. Promising interventions have been implemented for particularly vulnerable groups such as orphans and vulnerable children, people who inject drugs, or are engaged in sex work.

Box 1. 10 Key Facilitating Factors for Scale-Up
1. Technical simplicity of the intervention
2. Felt demand for the intervention
3. Resources available to bring promising pilots to scale
4. Adaptable to different environments
5. Systems that are ready for scale-up
6. Political commitment
7. Reinforced by policies
8. Includes all stakeholders
9. Accountability mechanisms in place/developed
10. Gender and human rights considerations addressed
Large gaps remain in research, programming, and evaluating the experience of scaling up programming for girls. A successful program for adult women may not be appropriate for adolescent girls. Rigorous evaluations are required, particularly for successful approaches carried out among adults that may be considered for scale-up to reach adolescents. Additional information is also needed on costs and cost-effectiveness. The evidence presented here offers useful guidance on potential interventions that, with close evaluation, could be scaled up to reach the increasing number of adolescents, especially girls, living with or at risk of acquiring HIV.

For more information on adolescents, a detailed description of the methodology, and full references for the citations, see www.whatworksforwomen.org.
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